

UNIONS OF LEBESGUE SPACES AND A_1 MAJORANTS

GREG KNESE, JOHN E. MCCARTHY, AND KABE MOEN

ABSTRACT. We study two questions. When does a function belong to the union of Lebesgue spaces and when does a function have an A_1 majorant? We show these questions are fundamentally related. For functions restricted to a fixed cube we prove that the following are equivalent: a function belongs to L^p for some $p > 1$; the function has an A_1 majorant; for any $p > 1$ the function belongs to L_w^p for some A_p weight w . We also examine the case of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and give characterizations of the union of L_w^p over $w \in A_p$ and when a function has an A_1 majorant on all of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

While the L^p spaces are considered fundamental spaces of interest in analysis, the weighted L^p spaces and the related study of A_p weights are perhaps part of a more specialized area of analysis. It is the goal of this article to show that the L^p spaces considered in aggregate are intimately linked to these latter topics and to the notion of an A_1 majorant.

We begin with the following question.

Question 1.1. When does a function belong to the union of L^p spaces?

Question 1.1 is vaguely stated on purpose. By union, we will either mean the union of L^p as p varies or the union of L_w^p for a fixed p and w varying. The union of L^p spaces often arises when considering a general domain to define operators in harmonic analysis. Several such operators are bounded on L^p for all $1 < p < \infty$, hence will take functions from $\bigcup_{p>1} L^p$ into itself.

It turns out Question 1.1 is closely related to the theory of weighted Lebesgue spaces and the action of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on these spaces. For our purposes, a weight is a positive locally integrable function. An A_1 weight is one that satisfies

$$Mw \leq Cw, \quad a.e.$$

Date: March 1, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B25, 42B35, 46E30, 30H10, 30H15.

GK partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1048775.

JM partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0966845.

KM partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1201504.

Here M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| dx.$$

We exclude the weight $w \equiv 0$ from belonging to A_1 , and in this case we see that if $w \in A_1$ then $w > 0$ a.e. The A_1 class of weights characterizes when M maps L_w^1 into $L_w^{1,\infty}$. When $1 < p < \infty$, M is bounded on L_w^p exactly when $w \in A_p$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right) \leq C$$

for all cubes Q . At the other endpoint the A_∞ class is defined to be the union of all A_p for $p \geq 1$. We now come to our second question.

Question 1.2. Given a measurable function, f , when does there exist an A_1 weight, w , such that

$$(1) \quad |f| \leq w?$$

We call a weight satisfying (1) an A_1 majorant of f and write $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}$ for the set of measurable functions possessing an A_1 majorant. As stated, Question 1.2 does not seem to have been considered before. As far as we can tell, the first notion of an A_1 majorant appeared in the 2012 article by Rutsky [19]. In this paper a different definition of an A_1 majorant is given—one which requires the function and the weight to *a priori* belong to a more restrictive class of functions.

It turns out our problems split into two immediate cases: the local and global case. Because of this we will use the notation L^p , L_w^p , M_{A_1} , etc., when the domain does not matter and the notation $L^p(\Omega)$, $L_w^p(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$ for a fixed domain Ω . In general, we will take $\Omega = Q$ where Q is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (the local case) or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ (the global case). In the local case our problem has a remarkably simple answer—one which reveals a close connection between traditional L^p spaces, weighted L^p spaces, and A_1 majorants.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose Q is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and p_0 is an exponent satisfying $1 < p_0 < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(Q) = \bigcup_{p>1} L^p(Q) = \bigcup_{w \in A_{p_0}} L_w^{p_0}(Q).$$

The proof, as with most proofs in this article, is a synthesis of known important results; in this case the reverse Hölder inequality, a result of Coifman and Rochberg [3] (Theorem 2.6 below), and basic properties of A_p weights. The second equality in Theorem 1.3 reinforces the mantra of Rubio de Francia “there are no L^p spaces only weighted L^2 spaces”.

Theorem 1.3 has several extensions. First, a function may not have an A_1 majorant, but a power of it may. Given $r > 0$ we define the class $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r$ to be the set of functions such that $|f|^r$ has an A_1 majorant

(note: $\mathcal{M}_{A_1} = \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^1$). We have the more general result which implies Theorem 1.3 (take $r = 1$).

Theorem 1.4. *Let Q be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and r, p_0 satisfy $0 < r < p_0 < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q) = \bigcup_{p>r} L^p(Q) = \bigcup_{w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}} L_w^{p_0}(Q).$$

We further extend the theory to A_∞ weights.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Q be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p_0, 0 < p_0 < \infty$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q) = \bigcup_{p>0} L^p(Q) = \bigcup_{w \in A_\infty} L_w^{p_0}(Q).$$

One may inquire about A_p majorants for $p > 1$ or A_∞ majorants, that is, given a function when does there exists $w \in A_p, 1 < p \leq \infty$, with $|f| \leq w$. We denote such classes of functions as $\mathcal{M}_{A_p}$ or $\mathcal{M}_{A_\infty}$. Since the A_p classes are nested we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_1} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_p} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_q} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_\infty}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Once again in the local case we have the following nice characterization.

Theorem 1.6. *If Q is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(Q) = \mathcal{M}_{A_\infty}(Q).$$

As an application of the local theory we are able to extend these results to Hardy spaces. Note that we look at the “complex analyst’s Hardy space” as opposed to the real analyst’s Hardy space defined in terms of maximal functions. Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disk in the plane and $\mathbb{T}$ denote its boundary. Given $p, 0 < p < \infty$, let $H^p = H^p(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of analytic functions “normed” by

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p}.$$

“Norm” is in quotes since this is not a norm for $0 < p < 1$, but we shall use norm notation $\|\cdot\|$ nonetheless. The Nevanlinna class, denoted N , is the collection of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\|f\|_N = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} < \infty.$$

Functions in N have nontangential limits almost everywhere on the boundary so we may treat them as functions on the disk or the circle. The Smirnov class N^+ consists of functions $f \in N$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

It is well known that

$$\bigcup_{p>0} H^p \subsetneq N^+ \subsetneq N.$$

(see, e.g., the books by Duren [6] or Rudin [18].) The Smirnov class is often considered a natural limit of H^p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

A weight on the torus will be a positive function in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$. The classes $A_1(\mathbb{T})$, $A_p(\mathbb{T})$, and $A_\infty(\mathbb{T})$ are defined analogously on $\mathbb{T}$. The weighted Hardy space $H_w^p = H_w^p(\mathbb{D})$ is the closure of analytic polynomials in $L_w^p(\mathbb{T})$. Since we may identify the torus $\mathbb{T}$ with $[0, 2\pi]$ it is obvious that Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 hold for $\mathbb{T}$. While there are real variable definitions of weighted Hardy spaces, this classical definition has an intuitive appeal.

In [15], while studying the range of Toeplitz operators, the second author showed that

$$N^+ = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} H_w^2$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is the Szegő class of weights satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w \, d\theta > -\infty.$$

We notice that if $w \in A_\infty(\mathbb{T})$ then we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} w \, d\theta \right) \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w \, d\theta \right) < \infty,$$

in particular $A_\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathcal{W}$. Using the above techniques we are able to give a characterization of $\bigcup_{p>0} H^p$ in terms of weighted H^p spaces.

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose p_0 is an exponent satisfying $0 < p_0 < \infty$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{p>0} H^p = \bigcup_{w \in A_\infty} H_w^{p_0}.$$

If we define $H_{A_1}(\mathbb{T})$ as functions in N^+ whose boundary function is majorized by an $A_1(\mathbb{T})$ weight, then we have the following analog of Theorem 1.3 for Hardy spaces.

Theorem 1.8. *If p_0 is an exponent satisfying $1 < p_0 < \infty$, then*

$$H_{A_1}(\mathbb{T}) = \bigcup_{p>1} H^p = \bigcup_{w \in A_{p_0}} H_w^{p_0}.$$

For functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the theory is not as nice. One advantage of the local case is that the L^p spaces are nested. Because of this we are not able to obtain equality of the union of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p > 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Remarkably, even the much larger union over weak- $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces is not equal to $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.9. *If p_0 is any exponent with $1 < p_0 < \infty$ then*

$$\bigcup_{p>1} L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \bigcup_{w \in A_{p_0}} L_w^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The proof uses the extrapolation theory of Rubio de Francia [16, 17] (see also the book [4]). In addition, the global version of 1.6 does not hold.

Theorem 1.10. *If $p > 1$ then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{A_p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

There are some positive results on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define $\mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the class of functions such that $Mf < \infty$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A_\infty^F}$ to be functions, f , such that there exists $w \in A_\infty^F = A_\infty \cap \mathcal{M}_F$ with $|f| \leq w$. Given $w \in A_\infty$, a simple way to create a weight in A_∞^F is to take a truncation: let $w_\lambda = \max(w, \lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Then $w_\lambda \in A_\infty \cap L^\infty \subset A_\infty^F$. Moreover, we have the following characterizations of $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.11. *There holds $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{A_\infty^F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remarkably, $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be described in terms of functions whose maximal operator is only finite almost everywhere.

Theorem 1.12. *A function f , belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there is an $s > 1$ such that $|f|^s \in \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

We have two descriptions of the union $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where p is fixed and w ranges over all A_p weights.

Theorem 1.13. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \left(\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \right).$$

The class $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be thought of as a generalization of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ —i.e. functions here are majorized by constants, which are A_1 weights—while $\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is generalization of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Considering the basic fact

$$L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \bigcap_{1 < p < \infty} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Theorem 1.13 (combined with Theorem 1.9) shows that if we enlarge $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and intersect the two, then we pick up an even bigger class of functions—one that properly contains the union of all $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $p > 1$. We also note the following corollary to Theorem 1.13.

Corollary 1.14. *If $1 < p, q < \infty$ then*

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{w \in A_q} L_w^q(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Notice that if $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the maximal function is bounded on $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its dual space $L_\sigma^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$ since $\sigma \in A_{p'}$. It turns out this property characterizes the union of all such function spaces. For the statement of our final theorem we will need the notion

of a Banach function space, which we refer the reader to Section 2 for more precise definitions. Given a Banach function space, $\mathcal{X}$, we denote the associate space by $\mathcal{X}'$ and we also write $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ if M is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{X}$. We end our introductory results with the following theorem that says a function belongs to a function space $\mathcal{X}$ for which the Hardy-Little maximal function is bounded on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ if and only if $f \in L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p > 1$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.15. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ then*

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} : M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}') \},$$

where the second union is over all Banach function spaces such that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$.

The rest of this paper will be as follows. In Section 2 we state preliminary results necessary for the rest of the paper. In Section 3 we make some remarks about the classes of functions with A_p majorants that hold for a general domain. In Section 4 we develop the local theory, proving Theorems 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7 and 1.8. Section 5 is devoted to the global theory, in particular we prove Theorems 1.9, 1.10, 1.11, and 1.12. We finish the manuscript with some open questions in Section 6.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Carlos Pérez and Javier Duoandikoetxea for comments that helped improve the quality of this manuscript. We would like to thank David Cruz-Urbe for Example 4.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

As mentioned in the introduction it is imperative that we separate the local and global cases. Hereafter, Ω will denote either $\mathbb{R}^n$ or a cube, Q , with sides parallel to the axes in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let us begin with definition of Lebesgue spaces. Given p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we will use p' to denote the dual exponent defined by the equation $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. For $0 < p < \infty$, $L^p(\Omega)$ is the set of measurable functions such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = \int_{\Omega} |f|^p dx < \infty.$$

Given a cube Q a weight on Q will be a positive function in $L^1(Q)$. A weight on $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be a positive function in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given a weight, w , define $L_w^p(\Omega)$ to be functions normed by

$$\|f\|_{L_w^p(\Omega)}^p = \int_{\Omega} |f|^p w dx.$$

Let M_{Ω} be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator restricted to Ω , i.e.,

$$M_{\Omega}f(x) = \sup_{\substack{Q \subset \Omega \\ x \in Q}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| dy.$$

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ we write $M_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = Mf$.

We define $A_1(\Omega)$ to be the class of all weights on Ω such that $M_\Omega w(x) \leq Cw(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. While $A_p(\Omega)$, for $p > 1$, is the class of all weights on Ω such that

$$\sup_{Q \subset \Omega} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \, dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'} \, dx \right) < \infty.$$

Given an A_p weight w we will refer to the weight $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$ as the dual weight. For the endpoint, $p = \infty$, we will use the definition

$$A_\infty(\Omega) = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p(\Omega).$$

There are several other definitions of A_∞ , e.g., weights satisfying a reverse Jensen inequality, a reverse Hölder inequality, or fairness condition with respect to Lebesgue measure [5, 9].

Example 2.1. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $w_{x_0}(x) = |x - x_0|^\alpha$. Then $w_{x_0} \in A_p(\Omega)$ if and only if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

The following theorem states some elementary properties of A_p weights, most of which follow from the definition (see [5, Proposition 7.2]).

Theorem 2.2. *The following hold.*

- (i) $A_1 \subset A_p \subset A_q \subset A_\infty$ if $1 < p < q < \infty$.
- (ii) For $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$ if and only if $\sigma = w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}$.
- (iii) If $0 < s \leq 1$ and $w \in A_p$ then $w^s \in A_p$.
- (iv) If $u, v \in A_1$ then $uv^{1-p} \in A_p$.

It is interesting to note that the converse of (iv) also holds, but the proof is much more intricate. This was shown by Jones in [14]. We emphasize that we will not need this converse statement, only the statement (iv).

We will also need the following deeper property of A_∞ weights known as the reverse Hölder inequality. See [12] for a simple proof with nice constants.

Theorem 2.3. *If $w \in A_\infty(\Omega)$, then there exists $s > 1$ such that for every cube $Q \subset \Omega$*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^s \, dx \leq \left(\frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q w \, dx \right)^s.$$

As a corollary to Theorem 2.3 we have the following openness properties of A_p classes.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The following hold*

- (i) $A_p(\Omega) = \bigcup_{1 \leq q < p} A_q(\Omega)$.
- (ii) If $w \in A_p(\Omega)$ then $w^s \in A_p(\Omega)$ for some $s > 1$.

For the results on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we will need the notion of a Banach function space. We refer the reader to book by Bennett and Sharpley [2, Chapter 1] for an excellent reference on the subject. A mapping ρ , defined on the set of non-negative $\mathbb{R}^n$ -measurable functions and taking values in $[0, \infty]$, is said to be a Banach function norm if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\rho(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0$ a.e., $\rho(af) = a\rho(f)$ for $a > 0$, $\rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$;
- (ii) if $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e., then $\rho(g) \leq \rho(f)$;
- (iii) if $f_n \uparrow f$ a.e., then $\rho(f_n) \uparrow \rho(f)$;
- (iv) if $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded then $\rho(\chi_B) < \infty$;
- (v) if $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded then

$$\int_B f dx \leq C_B \rho(f)$$

for some constant C_B , $0 < C_B < \infty$.

We note that our definition of a Banach function space is slightly different than that found in [2]. In particular, in the axioms (iv) and (v) we assume that the set B is a bounded set, whereas it is sometimes assumed that B merely satisfy $|B| < \infty$. We do this so that the spaces $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $w \in A_p$ satisfy items (iv) and (v). (See also the discussion at the beginning of Chapter 1 on page 2 of [2].)

Given Banach function norm ρ , $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n, \rho)$, is the collection of a measurable functions such that $\rho(|f|) < \infty$. In this case we may equip $\mathcal{X}$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \rho(|f|).$$

Given a Banach function space we may define the associate space, $\mathcal{X}'$, as all measurable functions, g , such that $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{X}$. This space may be normed by

$$(2) \quad \|g\|_{\mathcal{X}'} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |fg| dx : \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Equipped with this norm $\mathcal{X}'$ is also a Banach function space and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |fg| dx \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{X}'}.$$

Typical examples of Banach function spaces are $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ whose associate spaces are $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Other Banach spaces include weak type spaces $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and Orlicz spaces $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined for a Young function Φ (see [2, 4]). When $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the spaces $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are also Banach function spaces with respect to Lebesgue measure. To see this it suffices to check property (v): For $1 < p < \infty$ if B is bounded then $B \subset Q$ for some cube Q so $\sigma(B) < \infty$, where and

$$\int_B f dx = \int_B f w^{1/p} w^{-1/p} dx \leq \sigma(B)^{1/p'} \|f\|_{L_w^p}.$$

The space $L_w^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $w \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be realized simply as $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $w \in A_\infty$ implies $w > 0$ a.e. Finally, for $L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ note that

$$\int_B f dx = \int_B f w w^{-1} dx \leq (\inf_B w)^{-1} \|f\|_{L_w^1}.$$

The associate space of $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by the pairing in (2) is given by $L_\sigma^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$, not $L_w^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will be particularly interested in Banach functions spaces $\mathcal{X}$ for which

$$\|Mf\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}$$

in which case we write $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$.

We end this section with the classical result of Coifman and Rochberg [3] (see also [8, Theorem 3.4, p. 158]). This result requires a definition.

Definition 2.5. We say that a function belongs to $\mathcal{M}_F(\Omega)$ if

$$M_\Omega f(x) < \infty, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

If f belongs to a Banach function space for which $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ then $f \in \mathcal{M}_F$.

Theorem 2.6. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_F(\Omega)$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ then $(M_\Omega f)^\delta \in A_1(\Omega)$.

We leave the reader with the following table of notation that will be used throughout the manuscript.

Ω	Domain of interest, either $\mathbb{R}^n$ or a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$;
M_Ω	Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator restricted to Ω ;
$A_p(\Omega)$	class of A_p weights on Ω ;
$\mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r(\Omega)$	functions on Ω with $ f ^r$ majorized by an A_p weight;
$\mathcal{M}_F(\Omega)$	functions on Ω such that $M_\Omega f < \infty$ a.e.;
$A_p^F(\Omega)$	$A_p(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{M}_F(\Omega)$;
$\mathcal{M}_{A_p^F}(\Omega)$	functions majorized by $A_p^F(\Omega)$ weights.

3. THE CLASSES $\mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r$

Let us now define a general class of functions majorized by A_p weights and establish some properties of such classes. We remind the reader that throughout a domain Ω will denote all of $\mathbb{R}^n$ or a cube Q in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 3.1. Let r and p satisfy $0 < r < \infty$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Define $\mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r(\Omega)$ to be the collection of all measurable functions on Ω , f , such that there exists $w \in A_p(\Omega)$ with

$$|f(x)|^r \leq w(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

When $r = 1$ we simply write $\mathcal{M}_{A_p}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.4 implies the following general facts about the $\mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r$ classes.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose r and p satisfy $0 < r < \infty$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, there holds*

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r(\Omega) = \bigcup_{s>r} \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^s(\Omega)$$

and if $p > 1$,

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r(\Omega) = \bigcup_{1 \leq q < p} \mathcal{M}_{A_q}^r(\Omega).$$

Proof. We first prove (3). It is clear from (iii) of Theorem 2.2 that $\bigcup_{r < s} \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^s(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r(\Omega)$. On the other hand, if $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^r(\Omega)$ then $|f|^r \leq w \in A_p$. By (ii) of Theorem there exists $t > 1$ such that $w^t \in A_p(\Omega)$, but then taking $s = rt > r$ and $u = w^t$ we have $|f|^s \leq u \in A_p$, so $f \in \bigcup_{r < s} \mathcal{M}_{A_p}^s(\Omega)$. The proof of equality (4) follows directly from (i) of Theorem 2.2 and (i) of Theorem 2.4. $\square$

Our next Theorem shows that for a function to have an A_1 majorant it is equivalent for its maximal function to have an A_1 majorant.

Theorem 3.3. *$f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$ if and only if $M_\Omega f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$ then we have $M_\Omega f \leq M_\Omega w \leq Cw$ since $w \in A_1(\Omega)$, which is to say $M_\Omega f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$. The converse statement follows from the fact that $|f| \leq M_\Omega f$. $\square$

Using the exact same reasoning it is easy to prove that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(\Omega)$ if and only if $M_\Omega(|f|^r) \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$. However, we can do slightly better when $r \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $r \geq 1$ then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(\Omega)$.
- (ii) $M_\Omega(|f|^r) \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$.
- (iii) $M_\Omega f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(\Omega)$.

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from Theorem 3.3. We will prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Suppose that $w \in A_1(\Omega)$ and $M_\Omega(|f|^r) \leq w$. Since $r \geq 1$ we have $(M_\Omega f)^r \leq M_\Omega(|f|^r) \leq w$ which is to say that $M_\Omega f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r$.

On the other hand if $(M_\Omega f)^r \leq w \in A_1(\Omega)$, so $M_\Omega f < \infty$ a.e., and hence f is locally integrable on Ω . By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem we have

$$|f|^r \leq (M_\Omega f)^r \leq w.$$

$\square$

For the case $0 < r < 1$ we still have that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(\Omega)$ if and only if $M_\Omega(|f|^r) \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$, however it is not true that this is equivalent to $(M_\Omega f)^r \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$. Consider the simple example.

Example 3.5. Let $f(x) = |x|^{-n}$ on $Q = [-1, 1]^n$. If $0 < r < 1$ then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q)$ but $M_Q f \equiv \infty$.

Of course if $0 < r < 1$ and $M_\Omega f < \infty$ a.e., then $(M_\Omega f)^r \in A_1(\Omega)$ (hence $M_\Omega f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(\Omega)$) automatically by Theorem 2.6.

4. THE LOCAL CASE

For this section Q will be a fixed cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We begin with a proof Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We will prove the chain of containments:

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}} L_w^{p_0}(Q) \subset \bigcup_{p > r} L^p(Q) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q) \subset \bigcup_{w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}} L_w^{p_0}(Q).$$

- $\left(\bigcup_{w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}} L_w^{p_0}(Q) \subset \bigcup_{p > r} L^p(Q) \right)$: Suppose $f \in L_w^{p_0}(Q)$ for some $w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}(Q)$. Set $q_0 = p_0/r$, then by (ii) of Theorem 2.2, we have $\sigma = w^{1-q_0} \in A_{q_0'}(Q)$ and by Theorem 2.3 satisfies a reverse Hölder inequality:

$$\left(\int_{Q'} \sigma^s dx \right)^{1/s} \leq C \int_{Q'} \sigma dx$$

for some $s > 1$ and all $Q' \subseteq Q$. This implies that $\sigma \in L^s(Q)$. Define $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{sq_0}$ so that $q > 1$ and let $p = rq > r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_Q |f|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &= \left(\int_Q |f|^{rq} w^{q/q_0} w^{-q/q_0} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_Q |f|^{p_0} w dx \right)^{1/p_0} \left(\int_Q \sigma^s dx \right)^{1/(sq_0')}. \end{aligned}$$

- $\left(\bigcup_{p > r} L^p(Q) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q) \right)$: If $f \in L^p(Q)$ for some $p > r$ then $|f|^r \leq M_Q(|f|^p)^{r/p} \in A_1(\Omega)$ by Theorem 2.6.
- $\left(\mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q) \subset \bigcup_{w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}} L_w^{p_0}(Q) \right)$: Set $q_0 = p_0/r > 1$ and suppose $g = |f|^r \leq w \in A_1(Q)$. Then $w^{1-q_0} \in A_{q_0'}(Q)$ by (iv) of Theorem 2.2 and

$$\int_Q |f|^{p_0} w^{1-q_0} dx = \int_Q g^{q_0} w^{1-q_0} dx \leq \int_Q w dx < \infty.$$

□

Before we move on, we observe the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *If $1 < p, q < \infty$ then*

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(Q) = \bigcup_{w \in A_q} L_w^q(Q).$$

Proof of theorem 1.5. We first prove

$$\bigcup_{r > 0} \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q) = \bigcup_{p > 0} L^p(Q).$$

- ($\subset$): If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}^r(Q)$ for some $r > 0$ and $w \in A_1(Q)$ is such that $|f|^r \leq w$, then $f \in L^r(Q) \subset \bigcup_{p>0} L^p(Q)$.
- ($\supset$): If $f \in L^p(Q)$ for some $p > 0$ let r be such that $0 < r < p$, then $|f|^r \leq M_Q(|f|^p)^{r/p} \in A_1(Q)$.

Next we show

$$\bigcup_{p>0} L^p(Q) = \bigcup_{w \in A_\infty} L_w^{p_0}(Q).$$

- ($\subset$): Suppose $f \in L^p(Q)$ for some $0 < p < \infty$, then if $r < \min(p, p_0)$ we have

$$f \in L^p(Q) \subset \bigcup_{r < p} L^p(Q) = \bigcup_{w \in A_{\frac{p_0}{r}}} L_w^{p_0}(Q) \subset \bigcup_{w \in A_\infty} L_w^{p_0}(Q).$$

- ($\supset$): Suppose $f \in L_w^{p_0}(Q)$ for some $w \in A_\infty$, then $w \in A_q$ for some $q > 1$. Set $p = p_0/q$ and notice that $p < p_0$. Then

$$\int_Q |f|^p dx = \int_Q |f|^p w^{1/q} w^{-1/q} dx \leq \left(\int_Q |f|^{p_0} w dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_Q w^{1-q'} dx \right)^{1/q'}.$$

□

Example 4.2. The function

$$(5) \quad f(x) = x^{-1}(\log x)^{-2} \chi_{(0,1/2)}(x)$$

does not belong to $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}([0, 1])$. This follows from Theorem 1.3 since it can be readily checked that

$$f \in L^1([0, 1]) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{p>1} L^p([0, 1]) \right).$$

However, $f \in \mathcal{M}_F([0, 1])$ since $f \in L^1([0, 1])$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. It suffices to show $\mathcal{M}_{A_\infty}(Q) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(Q)$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_\infty}(Q)$ so that there exists $w \in A_\infty(Q)$ with

$$|f| \leq w.$$

Since $w \in A_\infty(Q)$, the reverse Hölder inequality implies that there exists $s > 1$ such that

$$(M_Q w^s)^{1/s} \leq 2M_Q w \leq 2(M_Q w^s)^{1/s}.$$

Moreover, since $w \in L^1(Q)$, $M_Q w < \infty$ a.e., and hence by Theorem 2.6 $M_Q w \in A_1(Q)$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(Q)$. □

Proof of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8. Since $N^+ \cap L^p(\mathbb{T}) = H^p$ for $p > 0$ ([6] Theorem 2.11), we see that

$$H_{A_1}(\mathbb{T}) = N^+ \cap \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{T}) = N^+ \cap \bigcup_{p>1} L^p(\mathbb{T}) = \bigcup_{p>1} H^p.$$

This is the first part of Theorem 1.8.

To go from equality of the analogous L^p spaces to the Hardy spaces is a matter of using two facts for $0 < p_0 < \infty$

(1)

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w \, d\theta > -\infty \text{ and } w \in L^1(\mathbb{T}) \text{ implies } w = |h|^{p_0}$$

for some outer function $h \in H^{p_0}$.

(2) If $h \in H^{p_0}$ is outer, then the set $h\mathbb{C}[z] = \vee\{z^j h : j \geq 0\}$ is dense in H^{p_0} .

Item (1) comes from the standard construction of an outer function (see [6] Section 2.5). As for item (2), when $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$ this is a standard generalization of Beurling's theorem ([6] Theorem 7.4). When $0 < p_0 < 1$, this is a less well known result that can be found in Gamelin [7], Theorem 4.

For Theorem 1.8 we must show for $1 < p_0 < \infty$

$$\bigcup_{p>1} H^p = \bigcup_{w \in A_{p_0}} H_w^{p_0}.$$

Now, for $f \in H^p \subset L^p$, we know there exists $w \in A_{p_0}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $f \in L_w^{p_0}(\mathbb{T})$ by Theorem 1.3. Factor $w = |h|^{p_0}$ with outer $h \in H^{p_0}$. Then, $fh \in N^+ \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}) = H^{p_0}$ while $h\mathbb{C}[z]$ is dense in H^{p_0} so that there exist polynomials Q_n satisfying

$$\int |fh - Q_n h|^{p_0} \, d\theta = \int |f - Q_n|^{p_0} w \, d\theta \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows $f \in H_w^{p_0}$ (since it is initially defined as the closure of the analytic polynomials in $L_w^{p_0}(\mathbb{T})$).

Conversely, we have seen that if $f \in H_w^{p_0}$, then $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for some $p > 1$. Factor $w = |h|^{p_0}$ as before. Then, $fh \in H^{p_0}$ and $1/h$ is outer, so that $f = fh(1/h) \in N^+$. Since $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$, we can then conclude that $f \in H^p$.

The proof of Theorem 1.7, which claims for $0 < p_0 < \infty$

$$\bigcup_{p>0} H^p = \bigcup_{w \in A_\infty} H_w^{p_0},$$

is similar once we know the corresponding fact for $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ spaces. Indeed, take $f \in H^p$ for some $p > 0$. There exists $w \in A_\infty$ such that $f \in L_w^{p_0}(\mathbb{T})$ by Theorem 1.5. Factor $w = |h|^{p_0}$ with outer $h \in H^{p_0}$. Then, $f \in H_w^{p_0}$ as above using Gamelin's result. The converse is similar to the previous proof. $\square$

5. THE GLOBAL CASE

In this section we address the case when our functions are defined on all of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let us first prove Theorem 1.13, which states that

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.13. We will prove the containment each direction
First we show

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Suppose w is an A_1 majorant of f and $f \in L_u^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $u \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 2.2 $uw^{1-p} \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p w^{1-p} u \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| u \, dx.$$

To see the reverse containment suppose that $f \not\equiv 0$, belongs to $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will use the fact that $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $M \in \mathcal{B}(L_w^p)$ to apply the Rubio de Francia algorithm:

$$Rf = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k f}{2^k \|M\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_w^p)}^k}.$$

Then Rf is an A_1 majorant of f so $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also let g be any function in $L_{\sigma}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$ satisfying $\|g\|_{L_{\sigma}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. Again, since $\sigma \in A_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we apply the Rubio de Francia algorithm

$$Rg = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k g}{2^k \|M\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_{\sigma}^{p'})}^k},$$

so that Rg is in $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|Rg\|_{L_{\sigma}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| Rg \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| w^{1/p} Rg w^{-1/p} \, dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Rg\|_{L_{\sigma}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2 \|f\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

showing that $f \in \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well. □

Before we move on, we remark that the intersection of $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is necessary for our result. The function in example 4.2 viewed as a function on $\mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R})$, but does not belong to $L_w^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $p > 1$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$ since it belongs to $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \bigcup_{p>1} L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R})$. We did not encounter this phenomenon in the local case since for a fixed cube Q , $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(Q) \subset L^1(Q)$.

We now prove Theorem 1.15.

Proof of Theorem 1.15. By Theorem 1.9, it suffices to show

$$(6) \quad \bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} : M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}') \}.$$

and

$$(7) \quad \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} : M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}') \} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

However, the containment (6) is immediate, since

$$M \in \mathcal{B}(L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \Leftrightarrow w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow \sigma \in A_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow M \in \mathcal{B}(L_{\sigma}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

On the other hand, for containment (7), if $f \not\equiv 0$, $f \in \mathcal{X}$, for some Banach function space $\mathcal{X}$ such that $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}')$. Then we may use the Rubio de Francia algorithm to construct an $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ majorant:

$$Rf = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k f}{2^k \|M\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})}^k}.$$

Then $Rf \in A_1$ and $|f| \leq Rf$ so $f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given $g \in \mathcal{X}'$ let

$$Rg = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k g}{2^k \|M\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}')}^k},$$

so that $Rg \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{X}'$ and $\|Rg\|_{\mathcal{X}'} \leq 2\|g\|_{\mathcal{X}'}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| Rg \, dx \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|Rg\|_{\mathcal{X}'} \leq 2\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{X}'}$$

so $f \in \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

When $p > 1$, $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach function space such that M is bounded on $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [9]) and its associate $(L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))' = L^{p',1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Lorentz space with exponents p' and 1, is also a space for which M is bounded (see [1]).

Corollary 5.1. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ then*

$$\bigcup_{p>1} L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

From Corollary 5.1 we see that the analogous version of Theorem 1.3 is not true on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This follows since

$$\bigcup_{p>1} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \bigcup_{p>1} L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for example $f(x) = |x|^{-n/2} \in L^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but $f \notin \bigcup_{p>0} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also remark that the techniques required for $\mathbb{R}^n$ are completely different than the local case. For example, to prove the containment

$$\bigcup_{p>1} L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

it is not enough to simply dominate $|f|$ by $M(|f|^p)^{1/p}$. Indeed for $f \in L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $g = |f|^p \in L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but Mg may not be finite for $g \in L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (take $g(x) = |x|^{-n}$ for example). Instead we must refine our construction of an A_1 majorant using the techniques of Rubio de Francia [16].

We now provide examples to show that the inclusions in Theorem 1.9 are proper. We first show that the second inclusion is proper, i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

it suffices to find a function in $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \right)$.

Example 5.2. The function $f(x) = 1$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To prove this we need the following fact: if $w \in A_\infty$ then $w \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose not, that is, suppose $w \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 2.3, there exists $s > 1$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^s dx \right)^{1/s} \leq \frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx.$$

Let $Q_N = [-N, N]^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_N|} \int_{Q_1} w^s dx \right)^{1/s} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q_N|} \int_{Q_N} w^s dx \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|Q_N|} \int_{Q_N} w dx \leq \frac{2}{|Q_N|} \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we arrive at a contradiction. Finally to see $1 \notin \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, notice that $1 \in L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next we show that

$$\bigcup_{p>1} L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For this example we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. Suppose $u, v \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\max(u, v) \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \min(u, v) \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. To see that $\max(u, v)$ is in $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ note that $\max(u, v) \leq u + v \leq 2 \max(u, v)$ hence

$$M(\max(u, v)) \leq Mu + Mv \leq C(u + v) \leq 2C \max(u, v).$$

To prove $\min(u, v) \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we use the equivalent definition of $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow \oint_Q w dx \leq C \inf_Q w \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

where the infimum is the essential infimum of w over the cube Q . Set $w = \min(u, v)$ and let Q be a cube. Notice that $\inf_Q u > \inf_Q v$ implies $\inf_Q w = \inf_Q v$ and hence

$$\oint_Q w dx \leq \oint_Q v \leq C \inf_Q v = C \inf_Q w.$$

On the other hand if $\inf_Q u \leq \inf_Q v$ then $\inf_Q w = \inf_Q u$ and so

$$\int_Q w \, dx \leq \int_Q u \, dx \leq C \inf_Q u = C \inf_Q w.$$

So $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

Example 5.4. Let $f(x) = \max(|x|^{-\alpha n}, |x|^{-\beta n})$. If $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ then $f \notin \bigcup_{p>0} L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However,

$$|f(x)| \leq w(x)$$

where $w(x) = \max(|x|^{-\beta n}, 1)$ and $f \in L_u^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $u(x) = \min(|x|^{-\gamma}, 1)$ when $1 - \alpha < \gamma < 1$. By Lemma 5.3 u and w belong to $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Example 5.5 (Proof of Theorem 1.10). Let $p > 1$ and $0 < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Now consider the function $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$. Then $f \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but $f \notin \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so in particular, $f \notin \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To see this notice that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $r > |x|$

$$Mf(x) \geq \frac{c}{r^n} \int_{|x| \leq r} |x|^\alpha \, dx \simeq r^\alpha$$

so $Mf \equiv \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Since $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_\infty^F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand if f is dominated by a weight $w \in A_\infty^F(\mathbb{R}^n) = A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Theorem 2.3 we have

$$M(w^s)^{1/s} \leq 2Mw < \infty \quad a.e.$$

for some $s > 1$. So in particular $|f| \leq M(|f|^s)^{1/s} \leq M(w^s)^{1/s} \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Let w be an $A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ majorant of f . Since $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $w^s \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s > 1$, which implies $|f|^s \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 3.4 we have $M(|f|^s) \in \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand if there exists $s > 1$ such that $M(|f|^s) < \infty$ a.e. then $M(|f|^s)^{1/s} \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Theorem 2.6 and $|f| \leq M(|f|^s)^{1/s}$. $\square$

Finally we end with a brief description of $\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 5.6.

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} : M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}') \} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{X}' \cap A_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \emptyset \}$$

Proof. It is clear that that

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} : M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}') \},$$

since the dual space of $L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $M \in \mathcal{B}(L^\infty)$. The associate space is always a closed subspace of the dual space [2, 17]. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}')$ then given $g \in \mathcal{X}'$ with $g \not\equiv 0$ (notice Banach function spaces always contain non-zero functions by (iv)) we may define

$$w = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{M^k g}{2^k \|M\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}')}^k}$$

so that $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|w\|_{\mathcal{X}'} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{X}'}$, so $w \in \mathcal{X}' \cap A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Finally suppose $f \in \mathcal{X}$ for some $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}'$ contains an A_1 weight. Let $w \in \mathcal{X}' \cap A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|w \, dx \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{X}'}$$

□

6. QUESTIONS

We leave the reader with some open questions.

1. Let $A_p^* = \bigcap_{q>p} A_q$. Is there a characterization of the union

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p^*} L_w^p?$$

In general $A_p \subsetneq A_p^*$. For example $w(x) = \max((\log|x|^{-1})^{-1}, 1)$ belongs to A_1^* but not A_1 . Moreover,

$$\{w : w, 1/w \in A_1^*\} = \text{clos}_{BMO} L^\infty,$$

see [8, 13]. In the local case we have

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p^*} L_w^p(Q) \subset \bigcap_{s < p} \bigcup_{r > s} L^r(Q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow p^-} L^r(Q).$$

Are these two sets equal?

2. Can one give a better description of $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$? Using the techniques of the paper it is easy to show that

$$\bigcup \{\mathcal{X} : M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Are these two sets equal?

3. It is well known that

$$L^1 \cap L^\infty \subset \bigcap_{1 < p < \infty} L^p \subset \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} L^p \subset L^1 + L^\infty.$$

When can we write a function as the sum of a function in $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}$ and $\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1$, that is, what conditions on a function guarantee it belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{A_1} + \bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^1$?

4. What can one say about

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_p} L_w^{p,\infty}?$$

If $w \in A_1$ and $p > 1$ then $M \in \mathcal{B}(L_w^{p,\infty})$, so for $p > 1$

$$\bigcup_{w \in A_1} L_w^{p,\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_{A_1}.$$

5. Do these results transfer to more general domains? It is possible to consider a general open set Ω as our domain of interest. We may define the $A_p(\Omega)$ classes, $\mathcal{M}_{A_1}(\Omega)$, and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M_Ω exactly as before. However, the openness results, Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, may not hold for Ω , even if it is bounded [4]. In the local case we assume that weights belong to $L^1(\Omega)$. What happens if we only assume $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$?

REFERENCES

1. M. Ariño, B. Muckenhoupt, *Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for nonincreasing functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 320 (1990), no. 2, 727–735.
2. C. Bennet and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
3. R.R. Coifman and R. Rochberg. *Another characterization of BMO*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 79, 249–254, 1980.
4. D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell, and C. Pérez. *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, volume 215 of Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhauser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
5. J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Vol. 29 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
6. P. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.
7. T. W. Gamelin, *H^p spaces and extremal functions in H^1* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **124**, (1966) 158–167.
8. J. García-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North Holland Math. Studies 116, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
9. L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **249**, Second Edition 2008.
10. L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **250**, Second Edition 2008.
11. R. Hunt, *On $L(p, q)$ spaces* L'Enseignement Math. **12** (1966), 249–276.
12. T.P. Hytönen, C. Pérez, and E. Rela *Sharp Reverse Hölder property for A_∞ weights on spaces of homogeneous type* J. Func. Anal. **263** (2012) 3883–3899.
13. R. Johnson, C. Neugebauer, *J. Homeomorphisms preserving A_p* . Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **3** (1987) 249–273.
14. P. Jones, *Factorization of A_p weights*. Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 3, 511–530.
15. J.E. McCarthy, *Common range of co-analytic Toeplitz operators*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1990), 793–799.
16. J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Factorization theory and A_p weights*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 533–547.

17. J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Linear operators in Banach lattices and weighted L^2 inequalities* Math. Nachr. **133** (1987), 197–209.
18. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.
19. D. V. Rutsky, *BMO-regularity in lattices of measurable functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **23** (2012) No. 2, 381–412.

GK: UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TUSCALOOSA, AL

E-mail address: geknese@bama.ua.edu

JM: WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ST. LOUIS, MO

E-mail address: mccarthy@wustl.edu

KM: UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TUSCALOOSA, AL

E-mail address: kmoen@as.ua.edu